Chemistry of Lipid Membranes from Models to Living Systems: A Perspective of Hydration, Surface Potential, Curvature, Confinement and Heterogeneity
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ABSTRACT: Lipid membranes provide diverse and essential functions in our cells relating to transport, energy harvesting and signaling. This variety of functions is controlled by the molecular architecture, such as the presence of hydrating water, specific chemical compounds and microscopic structures, such as the local membrane curvature, as well as macroscopic properties, such as the fluidity of the membrane. To understand the chemistry of membranes, ideally one needs access to multiple length scales simultaneously, using probes that are noninvasive, label-free and membrane-interface specific. This dream is generally pursued by following either a top-down approach, introducing labels to real cell membranes or by following a bottom-up approach with well-controlled but simplified membrane monolayer or supported membrane models. This Perspective offers an alternative path that ultimately envisions bringing together both approaches. By using intermediate nano-, micro- and macroscale free-floating membrane systems in combination with novel nonlinear optical methods, one can advance the understanding of realistic membranes on a more fundamental level. Here, we describe recent advances in understanding membrane molecular structure, hydration, electrostatics and the effect of variable length scale, curvature and confinement for 3D nano- and microscale membrane systems such as lipid droplets and liposomes. We also describe an approach to image membrane hydration and membrane potentials in real time and space together with an application to neuroscience. In doing so, we consider the emerging role of interfacial transient structural heterogeneities that are apparent in both model membranes as well as in whole cells.

INTRODUCTION

A cell membrane functions as a barrier of permeability that compartmentalizes cells. At this place, the cell performs crucial functions such as receiving signals (plasma membrane),1,2 energy conversion (mitochondrial membrane),3,4 and metabol ic conversion (adiposome organelle interface).5-8 As such, the majority of chemicals and drugs in the body interact with lipid membranes and their constituents. Lipid membranes are complex and composed of a diverse mixture of lipids that provide a semifluid environment for peptides and proteins.9-12

The membrane electrostatic potential,13-17 chemical compounds,18-22 spatiotemporal distribution of molecules,23,24 the size and curvature of the membrane25-27 and presence or absence of (transient) domains28,29 play key roles in the diverse chemistry of membranes and the above-mentioned processes. On an even more fundamental level, all membrane constituents are surrounded by water molecules. Without this hydrating water, the above-mentioned constituents are not able to self-assemble into a bilayer membrane.

Mapping membrane structure including hydration and its role in the dynamics of charge, the interactions with proteins, as well as membrane-modifying mechanisms in situ in real-time and space and in living systems is thus of prime importance to understand the chemistry of life. This is an ideal that should be realized in the future. It is also a formidable challenge, and one that has not been achieved so far. The most challenging aspect about obtaining interfacial molecular-level information as well as membrane hydration is that the probing methods should be label-free, nonperturbative, interface specific, able to discern different chemical structures, and spatially and temporally resolved on multiple length scales, and capable to measure in turbid media. Such complex technology does not yet exist. Therefore, to understand membrane functions, two distinct approaches are generally followed, represented in Figure 1: a top-down and a bottom-up approach. The first one uses real cells and applies (mostly optical) tools that allow accessing certain aspects of cellular functioning. Most commonly, one uses probes such as dye molecules or nanoparticles that have been engineered to address certain cellular processes and measures the fate of these probes.26,30,31 Due to the abundance of probes and their bright emission,12,24,28,30,31 it has become possible to achieve single molecule microscopy of membranes in living systems,32 and to obtain subsecond images of dynamic membrane processes in them.33 However, membrane interface specificity is not inherently present in the methods. Since the chemistry of living systems involves a delicate balance, this approach does not result in molecular-level information and inherently cannot capture the role of water. More specifically, the resonant enhancement of the probe response overwhelms the intrinsically weak linear or nonlinear optical contribution of the water response. The second approach uses simplified model systems that mimic certain aspects of membranes, such as lipid monolayers (LMs)34-38 and supported lipid bilayers.
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In this Perspective, we describe recent advances made during the last five years on the path toward this goal. We briefly introduce technology that enables one to obtain molecular-level interfacial information about structure and hydration of more realistic free floating nano- and microscale membrane model systems in aqueous solution. This information is obtained from molecular-specific nonlinear light scattering spectroscopy and spatiotemporal wide-field second harmonic imaging experiments. Recent experiments on diverse length scales illustrate the importance of curvature, confinement, length-scale and heterogeneity in space and time.

This Perspective starts with a description of the state of the art, summarizing the bottom-up approach to obtain interface specific membrane and hydration structure using LMs and SLBs. In the next section (Nano- and Microscale Membrane Model Systems), we describe the possibility of detecting molecular structural information and hydration structure of lipid droplets and liposomes in solution. Examples of recent studies in which the surface and hydration structure of LDs and liposomes that have a different composition are altered are discussed. This is followed by a discussion of how the angle resolved nonlinear light scattering data can be converted into water orientational and membrane potential information (Electrostatic Membrane Potential). The findings in terms of structure and electrostatics are then compared to knowledge obtained from experiments on LMs and SLBs, giving rise to emerging insights into the effects of length scales, curvature and confinement (Length Scale and Curvature). To further understand the role of length scales we discuss an approach to obtain real-time spatially resolved molecular-structural information on membrane water (Spatiotemporal Imaging of Membrane Water), as well as the first surprising results: Membrane water imaging experiments reveal spatiotemporal fluctuation in the hydration of freestanding membranes, confirming that membrane chemistry cannot be understood as a mean-field phenomenon that assumes a spatially and temporally uniform energy landscape. Instead, membrane chemistry is steered by fluctuations in time and space of the structure and energy landscape that depends on effects such as the length scale of the system, confinement and curvature. Before discussing the implications of all these in a final outlook section, we demonstrate an application of water imaging to probe the spatiotemporal membrane potential fluctuations in activated living neurons (Membrane Potential Imaging in Living Neurons). Here, spatiotemporal heterogeneities are also observed in living membrane systems.

**Molecular-Level Information on Membranes: State of the Art.** To obtain detailed membrane specific information, lipids, proteins and nanoparticles have been investigated on LMs at the planar extended air/water interface, as well as in SLBs using sum frequency generation (SFG) and second harmonic generation (SHG), the techniques that are primarily discussed in this Perspective.
SHG and SFG are second-order nonlinear optical spectroscopic probes that are inherently sensitive to interfaces due to symmetry induced vanishing response from bulk media. SFG is a combination of infrared (IR) and Raman spectroscopy and provides interfacial vibrational spectra, while SHG is primarily used to probe electronic structure. A more detailed description of these techniques in relation to scattering and imaging can be found in the next section. Membrane hydration was probed on SLBs and on LMs at the planar extended air/water interface. These studies show that depending on the lipid (and substrate) used, membrane/monolayer water differs in structure. The ionic speciation within the electric double layer and at the interface influences the electrostatic energy landscape and is thus a critical membrane structure determining factor. In addition (Hofmeister) alkali and alkaline-earth metal cations and transition metal cations are known for a wide range of specific ion effects, ranging from signaling by means of cations (e.g., Na⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺) to membrane fusion (e.g., H⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, Zn²⁺, Cu²⁺). Experimental molecular-level interfacial studies of ions in contact with LMs SLBs and modeling have shown that ion-membrane interactions are currently understood to be a consequence of an interplay of ion pairing, partitioning of ions to the water/hydrophobic interface, steric effects and counterion interactions. As an example, in Figure 2A, a combination of sum frequency generation (SFG) and molecular dynamics (MD) simulation study has examined the molecular conformation of lipid head groups in a uniform bilayer (MD) as a function of Ca²⁺ concentration. It was found in the MD studies that even though the PS headgroup is charged (and should therefore interact strongly with Ca²⁺ ions) its tilt angle depends only very weakly on the Ca²⁺ concentration. The PC headgroup, on the other hand, displays a strong dependence on Ca²⁺ concentration. While the SFG data on LMs at the air/water interface changed as a function of Ca²⁺ concentration, a comparison about tilt angles could not be made, due the difference in system. The probing of FLMs with SFG, which would allow direct comparison to the MD results, has not been achieved since the bulk aqueous solution adjacent to each leaflet forms a barrier to the optical infrared (IR) probe. In addition, how local variations in the membrane topology or other constituents or presence of mixed membrane structures affect the observed behavior is yet to be investigated.

Although many computational studies exist that address the question of how membrane proteins such as pores and channels operate (see, e.g., cited work in ref 76), experimental molecular-level/hydration information on functioning proteins has not been achieved so far. However, as a start, the structure/interaction of proteins and channels with LMs and SLBs is attracting much interest in the field yet to achieve this goal numerous experimental conditions must be well-controlled, such as passivation of the solid support surface to minimize possible membrane protein denaturation. One recent example concerns recent reflection-SFG studies on artificial pores that were inserted in 4:1 PC/PS SLB deposited on a silica/water interface, see Figure 2B. The chiral assembly of the pore forming molecules is superimposed to the water molecules transporting through the pores, by unambiguously detecting chiral SFG response in the vibrational O−H stretch region. How much of this response is due to the silica support is not clear, however. Nevertheless, that the channels imprint their chirality on water is a surprising effect, and one that emphasizes the intimate link between water and biomolecules. Other studies have shown that water molecules follow the chirality of an array of DNA molecules that was crafted to a surface.

![Figure 2](image-url)
Figure 3. Molecular interfacial structure of nanoscale lipid droplets. (A) SFS spectra of the C=H stretching region of 1 mM DPPC (blue), 0.1 mM DPPC (gray) and 1 mM DPPE (red) monolayers on 100 nm-radius d14-hexadecane nanodroplets (2 vol %) in D2O. The inset shows the SFS process. (B) Normalized SFS spectra of 1 mM DPPS (blue), 1 mM DPPA (red) and 1 mM DPPG (gray) monolayers on oil (d14-hexadecane) nanodroplets (2 vol %) in D2O for the C=H stretching modes. (C) SFS spectra in the P=O stretch region of the vibrational spectra for DPPE (red curve), DPPC (blue curve), as well as DPPG (green), DPPA (orange) and DPPS (purple) data points, all associated with the gray fit. The inset shows the head groups are aligned away from the surface normal. (D) Normalized AR-SHS patterns of oil nanodroplets with charged DPPS (blue), DPPG (green) and DPPA (red), as well as zwitterionic DPPC (gray) and DPPE (brown) monolayers. Differences in the number density and size of nanodroplets between different samples are corrected. (E) The SFG spectra of DPPC monolayer at the air/water interface. The inset shows the more packed lipid headgroup area for lipid monolayers at the air/water interface. The figure is adapted from refs 45, 53, S7, S8 with permission from the American Chemical Society. Figure abbreviations: DPPC = 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, DPPS = 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoserine, DPPG = 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphoglycerol, DPPA = 1,2-dipalmitoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphatidic acid.

Another example of understanding the molecular-level picture of membrane processes is the temperature dependent study of ice-nucleating proteins, depicted in Figure 2C. The lysate of the Pseudomonas syringae bacteria containing ice-active inaZ protein demonstrates a progressively increasing water signal with decreasing temperatures. Moreover, the temperature dependent change of the SFG signal disappears for the control samples such as DPPG monolayers, and lysozyme proteins, indicating that the ice nucleating protein indeed changes the water structure.80 One may ask if it this unique, and if the reverse is also the case (i.e., water dictating protein structure). Whether water dictates protein structure, however, is more difficult to study and has, therefore not been reported.

Since ion, molecular and single protein specific modeling requires atomistic models, and spectroscopic techniques typically record spatially and temporally averaged information, our understanding is either localized to atomistic detail from simulations or averaged over time and space into crude mean field models that have no explicit atomic details embedded in them. Adding the spatiotemporal dimension requires non-invasive label-free interface specific microscopy tools with molecular specificity. To this end static resonant SH imaging,81–84 and SF imaging20,55,60 have been developed. Studies on monolayers and on lipid bilayers are few.13

Figure 2D shows the confocal chiral-SHG images of chiral molecules (i), as well as a racemic mixture of the same molecules (ii) in a POPC supported lipid bilayer. The first SH image shows a contrast arising from the chiral nonlinear optical response, while the second image does not. Image (iii) is a fluorescent control image to colocalize the chiral molecules. This shows that the chiral molecules in the supported bilayer membrane interface can be imaged with resonant SH imaging.19,81 These results are promising, but due to the weak nonlinear optical response in these experiments, recording times are more than 20 min per image. Since structural changes relevant for chemistry and biology occur on much shorter millisecond time scales, no dynamic information can be obtained.

Having given an overview and flavor of the state of the art pertaining to the molecular-level interfacial investigation of LMs and SLBs, and having highlighted some of the limitations of this approach to understand the aqueous chemistry of membranes, we now turn to recent advances concerning the molecular-level interfacial understanding of more realistic free-floating nano-, micro- and macroscopic membranes in solutions which leads us even to the molecular-level investigation of active neuronal cell membranes. We pay particular attention to effects of size, curvature and confinement, hydration, electrostatics and the emerging role of spatiotemporal heterogeneities.

Nano- and Microscale Membrane Model Systems. To increase the complexity toward more realistic membrane systems in the quest toward a full scale molecular-level membrane understanding, one approach is to probe molecular interfacial structure on small objects in turbid solutions, such as lipid droplets and liposomes. In our laboratory, we have developed vibrational sum frequency scattering (SFS)89 and nonresonant angle resolved (AR) second harmonic scattering (SHS).80 Before advancing to the 3D membrane systems, both techniques are first briefly introduced.
Nonlinear Optical Methods for Probing 3D Interfaces in Solution. A full description of the second-order nonlinear light scattering techniques used here is beyond the scope of this Perspective. For a detailed description of these methods, see ref 50, for an educational review, ref 91, for sum frequency scattering in particular and refs 13, 60 for second harmonic scattering. Vibrational SFS, invented by Roke,89 (Figure 3A) is a combination of vibrational sum frequency spectroscopy and light scattering. SFS is inherently surface sensitive for isotropic bulk media, which makes it a valuable tool to obtain chemical structural information on interfaces in suspension. Figure 3A shows an illustration of a vibrational SFS experiment: visible (VIS) and infrared (IR) pulses are spatially and temporally overlapped in a suspension of nanodroplets/vesicles. The sum frequency (SF) photons originate from a simultaneous Raman and infrared transition. Since simultaneous Raman and infrared activity is only possible in the absence of local molecular inversion symmetry, SFS takes place exclusively at the droplet, liposome or membrane surface (i.e., in absence of charge within a spherical shell with a thickness <1 nm), where such inversion symmetry is broken. The SF light is scattered in a 3D angular distribution, determined by the size of the objects (typically the radius), the surface structure (as captured by the second-order susceptibility, $\chi^{(2)}$), and the interfacial electrostatic landscape (as captured by the surface potential, $\Phi_0$).13,30,60,92 The sensitivity to interfacial processes is much enhanced compared to traditional reflection SFG experiments from extended planar interfaces as nanodroplet/vesicle solutions have a surface to volume ratio that is typically 3 orders of magnitude larger than that of a planar interface. Further, since the liquid interfaces are prepared in situ in the probing medium with a very high surface to sample volume ratio, contaminations or film defects are no issue. SHS has been applied to study the structure of a wide range of interfaces, both in aqueous and nonaqueous liquids, ranging from oil in water41,94−98 and water in oil emulsions,99 to colloidal particles,89 cationic surfactant vesicles,101 to embedded solid clusters in amorphous materials100,102 to biopolymers in solution103 and cellulose structures.104

SHS (Figure 3A with both beams being of the same near-infrared frequency) has been used to measure surface properties of particles in liquids since 1996.105 SHS is the frequency degenerate form of SFS and has mostly been performed on-resonance using chromophores (see refs 50, 106 for reviews). The chromophores that have shown most promising results are malachite green105,107,108 and crystal violet.109,110 Using these markers, liposomes membranes and ion penetration through them111 were investigated as well as the transport of ions through bacterial membranes.112

Recently, our laboratory has made significant improvements to the experimental implementation of SHS, improving the throughput of the SHS instrument by several orders of magnitude.106 This was achieved by optimizing the trade-off between energy transfer and SH generation efficiency in aqueous solutions, and enables the nonresonant probing of water molecules at nanoscopic aqueous interfaces on second time scales. Despite the lack of chemical specificity, the probing of water is made possible by the number density of interfacial water molecules compared to other dipolar species that can generate a nonlinear source polarization. As the SH intensity scales quadratically with the surface density of dipolar species and since the nonresonant response is determined by hyperpolarizability values that are of the same order of magnitude, in many cases (and certainly in the case of lipid droplets and liposomes) the SHS response reflects the interfacial water structure.

Lipid Droplets. With SFS and SHS it is possible to determine interfacial structural properties of lipid droplets. Figure 3B shows SFS spectra of hexadecane oil droplets with an interfacial layer of lipids (DPPC and DPPE) in water with varying lipid densities.13,57 This system can be easily prepared by mixing the desired amount of lipid oil and water, and subsequently homogenizing and sonicating it. By measuring SFS spectra of both the acyl chains and the headgroup vibrational modes, we found that lipid monolayers with a tunable density varying from molecular areas and tilt angles from 0.48 nm$^2$ and 30° to 0.8 nm$^2$ and 70° could be formed.53 The molecular structures of various other 3D phospholipid monolayers consisting of different zwitterionic PC head groups with different acyl chains were also investigated.58 Shortening the lipid tail length introduces more disorder (gauche defects) to the 3D lipid monolayers, and the monolayer packing becomes more disordered. For unsaturated 1,2-dioleoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (DOPC) and single acyl chained 1-palmitoyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine (lyso-PC) lipids, the monolayer packing becomes more disordered. The single tailed lyso-PC lipid forms “patchy” monolayers,53,57,58 in contrast to the 3D monolayers of zwitterionic lipids such as DPPC and DPPE (Figure 3B), monolayers of charged lipids (such as with phosphatidylserine (PS), phosphatidic acid (PA) and phosphatidylglycerol (PG) head groups) form remarkably different monolayer structures.57 This is illustrated in Figure 3B,C where the C−H mode acyl tail and headgroup P−O region are shown for both the zwitterionic and charged lipids: The zwitterionic lipids display strong SF responses with the methyl stretch mode as the dominant vibrational mode (Figure 3B, gray trace), while the charged lipids display a very low intensity with a vanishing methyl mode response (Figure 3B, blue, green and red data). For the headgroup region, the response for the P−O stretch mode is either strong (Figure 3C, blue and red data) or absent (Figure 3C, green, orange and purple data). This means that zwitterionic lipids can pack into dense geometric films while the negatively charged DPPS, DPPA and DPPG lipids form 3D monolayers with a very low number density, completely disordered acyl tails and head groups that stick into the solution. The SHS response (Figure 3D) for both zwitterionic and charged lipids follows the opposite trend: a high intensity is observed for charged lipids, while a low intensity is observed for the zwitterionic lipids. This is interpreted to arise from a difference in oriented water. PC/PE lipids orient water molecules in plane along the P−N axis, which does not give rise to a detectable SH response, while charged lipids can orient water molecules along the normal direction via charge−dipole interactions, leading to a strong SH response.53,57,113 Compared to planar extended interfaces, these structures are different. Figure 3E shows headgroup spectra of DPPC molecules at the air water interface. Here, the tilt angles are larger with respect to the surface normal giving rise to a more densely packed film.45

Liposomes. Liposome interfaces have also been investigated with SFS and SHS. The structure of these ideal lipid bilayers is thought to be composed of lipids with a constant lipid headgroup area in each leaflet, combined with identical hydration.84 To verify this molecular-level picture, single lipid component liposomes in aqueous solutions were probed with SFS and AR-SHS.13,14,59 For membranes that have two
oppositely oriented leaflets, the SFS amplitude reports on the transmembrane asymmetry in the number of lipids per leaflet. For 100 nm liposomes, the outer leaflet has a larger area than the inner one. For a geometric packing to occur, there should be an excess of 8% of lipids on the outside leaflet, giving rise to an SFS response in both the headgroup (P–O) and acyl chain (C–H) responses. However, we found that the SFS spectra of single component unilamellar vesicles (~100 nm in diameter, composed of DPPC, DOPC, DPPS) show no detectable intensity for both the C–H and the P–O modes. An example spectrum is shown in Figure 4A. With a detection limit of the SFS technique corresponding to 2% lipid asymmetry, there is virtually no trans-membrane asymmetry for either the hydrophobic core or the headgroup region.

Since SFS detects the same number of lipids in the inner and outer leaflets, while there must be an area difference between the inner and outer leaflets, another candidate for filling up this volume is water. This was measured with AR-SHS. Figure 4B shows normalized AR-SHS patterns of single component unilamellar vesicles (100 nm, composed of DOPC, DPPC and DPPS). The SHS intensity signal is nonzero for all liposomes, demonstrating that the hydration environment of the inner leaflet is different from the outer leaflet. Moreover, charged DPPS liposomes generate ~21 times more SHS intensity per liposome compared to the zwitterionic liposomes. This difference can be explained by the interaction of the electrostatic field of the headgroup charges on the outer leaflets with the adjacent water molecules. This charge–dipole interaction induces changes in the orientational distribution of the interfacial water molecules and hence increases the SHS intensity. In the inner leaflets this effect is less since there can be no electrostatic gradient in the center of the liposomes (as described more in detail further below). Zwitterionic leaflets do not possess free charges, and thus the SHS response likely arises from a difference in hydrogen bond interactions. This hydration asymmetry between the leaflets of liposomes sheds new light on X-ray and neutron scattering measurements that reveal an asymmetrically trans-membrane distribution of electron density on anionic vesicles.

Real lipid membranes are much more complex in terms of membrane composition than just single component liposomes. To test the influence of multiple lipid species, the interfacial structure of liposomes composed of binary lipid mixtures were also explored. Figure 4A shows SFS spectra of liposomes in the P–O stretch region composed of a 1:1 mixture of DOPC-DPPS and DOPS-DPPC. It can be seen that the DOPC-DPPS liposomes generate a nonzero SFS spectrum in the headgroup region. Interestingly, only a single peak at ~1080 cm$^{-1}$ is observed for the mixed lipid liposomes. In comparison with the SFS spectra of any measured zwitterionic PC monolayer on the surface of oil nanodroplets, this peak position is 20 cm$^{-1}$ red-shifted. Such a red peak shift reports on changes in intermolecular and H-bonding interactions as well as the local aqueous environment. Thus, it is most likely assigned to a population of s-P0$_2$– stretch modes that are H-bonded with the NH$_3^+$ group of the neighboring PS lipids. Other binary lipid liposomes such as DOPS-DPPC, on the other hand, that do not have this type of interaction do not display lipid headgroup asymmetry.

These first results on probing the molecular structure of lipid droplets and liposome interfaces in aqueous solution thus show already a surprising number of differences with LMs and SLBs, regarding to the structure of the interface. These structural differences suggest that there may also be differences in terms of interactions. As electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions are chemically the most determining interactions, we next turn our attention to quantifying interfacial electrostatics using second harmonic scattering.

**Electrostatic Membrane Potential.** SH scattering patterns emerge as a consequence of the ratio of the size of the object compared to the wavelength of the probing beams. When the object and wavelength are of the same order of magnitude, the optical beams will induce a second-order polarization that has a different phase and magnitude on each

![Figure 4. Molecular interfacial structure of liposomes in solution. (A) SFS spectra of the P–O stretch region of liposomes (100 nm diameter) in D$_2$O composed of DOPC lipid (green), 1:1 mixtures of DOPC-DPPS (black), DOPS-DPPC (blue) and DOPC-DPPA (red). The inset illustrates H-bonding interaction between the DPPS and DOPC lipids, which gives rise to membrane asymmetry. (B) Hydration asymmetry between membrane leaflets as probed by AR-SHS of DPPS (blue), DOPC (green) and DPPC (red) liposomes in pure H$_2$O. The scattering pattern originates from the overall transmembrane asymmetry in the orientational distribution of water molecules around the lipids (as illustrated in the cartoons on the right side of the figure). (C) The AR-SHS patterns from DOPS liposomes as a function of ionic strength measured in PPP polarization combination. Ionic strength of the samples is indicated in the legend. The error bars represent the standard deviation of 20 measurements. (D) The extracted surface potential vs ionic strength. Error bars consider the standard deviations for $\chi^2$ the number density, and the radius. The dashed blue lines represent surface potential values calculated with the Gouy–Chapman (GC) model for spherical particles using different surface charge densities $\sigma_0$ (indicated as degree of ionization of the DOPS head groups in the outer leaflet). The solid black line represents a fit using the spherical constant capacitor (CC) model. Neither model describes the measurements.](image-url)
point of the object. Every point of the object will be a source for the emission of second-order light, and the resulting interference pattern is the finally measured scattering pattern. This SH intensity pattern, for a single scattering object, depends on the size (size \( s \)) for spherical shells \(<200 \text{ nm}^{13}\), the molecular interfacial structure (via \( \chi^{(2)} \), and the interfacial electrostatic potential, \( \Phi_0^{\circ} \)). Although it was already recognized in the 1960s that the SH intensity relates to the interfacial electrostatic potential, and it was shown by the Eisenholz group that the forward scattered SH intensity from liposomes connects empirically to the surface potential, it has only recently become possible to determine unique surface potential values from SHS experiments: The full AR polarimetric scattering process can be described by treating the electrostatic interfacial field as one of the participating optical fields (with a frequency \( \omega = 0 \)) in the nonlinear optical expressions. Using this three wave process \((\omega + \omega + 0 = 2\omega)\), unique intensity expressions can be described for different polarization combinations of the incoming and outgoing beams. For isotropic spheres, and nonresonant SHS there are two independent intensity expressions with two independent parameters \((\chi^{(2)}\) and \(\Phi_0)\), allowing the measurement of a unique value of the surface potential. This is a unique method as it is the first method that does not require a model (such as the Gouy–Chapman or Gouy–Chapman–Stern models) to describe the interfacial electrostatics of a dilute solution of nanoparticles and microscale particles. In contrast to other methods (e.g., electrokinetic mobility measurements), no mean-field assumptions about the interfacial structure are needed and the surface potential is measured at the plane of the interface (as opposed to a plane some unknown distance away from the interface, such as the slipping plane). A recently proposed synchrotron based X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) procedure uses electronic energy differences related to the Fermi level in solution and is applicable to concentrated (5 wt %) nanooxide particle (<9 nm) solution. Compared to this approach, AR-SHS allows for dilute (<5 vol %) solutions of a wide range of sizes (~20 nm), in a high-throughput table-top setup, and is applicable to soft matter interfaces such as lipid droplets and vesicles.

Recent polarimetric angle resolved second harmonic scattering measurements were performed on different liposome systems. Figure 4C,D shows examples of the obtained AR-SHS scattering patterns of DPPS liposomes as a function of ionic strength and the retrieved membrane surface potential values, respectively. Figure 4D also includes the predictions of membrane potentials calculated using different mean field models. These potential values differ from potentials obtained from planar systems. As can be seen in the figure, the obtained liposome membrane potential values roughly follow the modeled trend but do not agree with the mean field theory. The reason for that is that charge condensation, degree of interfacial ionization, \( \Phi_0^{\circ} \), the presence of a patchy Stern layer, and the amount of order in the interfacial hydrogen bond network all appear to depend on both the local molecular chemical environment as well as the curvature/size of the system. This information is of course not available in a mean field model.

**Length Scale and Curvature.** The above findings about structure and hydration in the section Nano- and Microscale Membrane Model Systems and electrostatic interactions in the section Electrostatic Membrane Potential suggest that on different length scales the balance of interactions (electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, dipole–dipole interactions and entropic effects) is different, and critically depends on the chemical composition of the lipids and size of the system, leading to different physicochemical properties. Similar results were found in studies on nanoemulsions of oil droplets in water that display low saturation charge densities that are more than 1 order of magnitude less than equivalent planar interfaces. This peculiar observation is explained by a different balance of interactions: Charged surfactants adsorb to the oil/water interface, and interact with the other surfactant molecules in solution and with the other surfactants that are also adsorbed to the interface. Since the oil phase (having an ionic strength of <1 mM) does not screen the charges of the surface-absorbed surfactant molecules, there is a repulsive barrier toward forming a dense geometric film of surfactant molecules. The balance of interaction is expected to change to bulk-like interactions as the droplets reach a diameter of several microns. The reverse system, water droplets dispersed in a hydrophobic liquid, was also investigated with SFS. These water droplets were stabilized with a neutral noncharged surfactant, and the interfacial hydrogen bond network displayed an unusually large order, corresponding to a temperature decrease of ~50 K. These examples clearly demonstrate that the balance of interactions is substantially different at the nanoscopic length scale compared to macroscopic length scales.

In the case of liposomes, the fact that there is structural water asymmetry (but no lipid asymmetry) shows that the bilayer in a 100 nm diameter liposome is differently structured than a planar extended bilayer (for which there is no average water asymmetry). This has been observed for both charged and zwitterionic liposomes (Figure 4A and refs 14, 59), and it is not clear over which length scale this behavior persists. Likewise, there is no formal knowledge on the electrostatic environment inside a small liposome. On an extended planar bilayer interface, mobile charges at the interface and in solution give rise to an electric double layer that screens charges and determines local structure and reactivity. Curving such a bilayer to the size of an SUV or LUV bends this double layer and produces an electrostatic field gradient directed toward the center of the liposome. At the same time, however, electrostatics predicts by virtue of Gauss’ law that there can be no electric field at the center of the liposome. Also, as charges and water molecules are confined to a smaller space the balance of driving enthalpic and entropic interactions changes compared to a planar extended bilayer in aqueous solution. Because the length scale of SUVs and LUVs is too large for atomistic modeling and too small or heterogeneous to be captured by mean field models, there is no analytical model to describe this situation. To obtain a better insight on the effects related to length scale, it is necessary to also probe membrane structure, hydration and the various types of interactions on macroscopic free-floating membranes ideally with the ability to connect to submicrometer length scales and subsecond resolution.

**Spatiotemporal Imaging of Membrane Water: Heterogeneity.** In order to connect submicrometer length scales with subsecond time scales, one needs imaging approaches that are sensitive to the weak optical or nonlinear optical responses of water, and at the same time sensitive enough to do this on a subsecond time scale. Vibrationally resonant coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering has been used to image with great success mostly lipid, but also water permeability.
Direct visualization of the arterial wall water permeability barrier using coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering microscopy. Real-time visualization of intracellular hydrodynamics in single living cells has also been observed. Ordering of water molecules between phospholipid bilayers has been visualized by coherent anti-Stokes Raman scattering microscopy. However, the third-order nature of the nonlinear optical process prevents interface specificity, which is inherently available with second-order techniques. The recent invention of high-throughput wide field SH imaging in our laboratory has made it possible to SH image interfacial hydration and to derive spatial maps of surface potentials and chemical reaction constants of glass/water interfaces, and more recently from lipid bilayer membrane/water interfaces.

Figure 5A shows a simple schematic of the imaging approach where two near-infrared fundamental pulsed femtosecond beams are overlapped on an FLM interface. SH photons are emitted from the ∼100 μm wide illuminated area in the phase matched direction. This wide field geometry allows for probing all 8 possible polarization combinations, with a spatial resolution of 380 nm and a temporal acquisition time of ∼250 ns, short enough to probe interfacial dynamics relevant for biological processes. The FLM consists here of a macroscopic ~6 nm thick lipid bilayer that is contained within a ~100 μm wide circular aperture of a thin Teflon film. Such lipid bilayers have been reported since 1972 and have been characterized mainly via their electrical resistance and capacitance. They are widely used membrane model systems as they can be prepared with various lipids and the lipids can be distributed asymmetrically via the Montal-Mueller preparation procedure. Compared to LMs and SLBs, such FLMs have the advantage that they are full bilayers that are completely surrounded by an aqueous phase on both sides, excluding any sort of substrate artifacts induced by the substrate, or changes in elastic or mechanical properties. They are thus closer to a real biological membrane, and can be dressed up to mimic one by adding key constituents.

Figure 5B shows a white light phase contrast (PC) image from an FLM, with its characteristic Newton fringes. SH imaging the FLM from the top, optical contrast emerges only when the lipid bilayers are structurally asymmetric along the surface normal. Figure 5 shows an example of a SH image of a symmetric (Figure 5C) and an asymmetric bilayer (Figure 5D). The origin of the SH contrast observed in the asymmetric image was traced back by a series of experiments involving the addition of ions to solution and the changing of pH to the following source: interfacial water molecules that have changed their orientation as a consequence of the interaction with the charged lipid head groups. Figure 5E,F show SH images taken at two different time intervals 2 s apart. A series of such images can be cropped into spatiotemporal interfacial hydration videos. Combining SHM with nonlinear optical theory, membrane potential maps were generated. The average potential found from these maps corresponded well with the value found by capacitance minimization. The SH images in Figure 5E,F show the derived membrane potential on the scale bars. It can be seen that spatial and temporal fluctuations in the electrostatic energy landscape are observed to be as large as 600 mV, equivalent to ~20 kT. The length scale of the fluctuations comprises a few micrometers. These results imply that mean field models that are still sufficient to understand simplistic monolayer and bilayer systems fail to capture this type of findings, potentially because of the use of spatial and temporal averaging that is long enough to eliminate fluctuations. However, since the fluctuations connect to potential energy landscape maxima and minima, they are essential for understanding chemistry.

This surprising finding suggests that the electrostatic environment within the interfacial water layer is dynamic. It may influence a wide variety of phenomena that depend upon the electrostatic energy landscape, including the interaction of lipids and membranes with ions, membrane-protein interactions, membrane structural fluctuations (such as the formation of transient structures), the transport through the membrane, membrane mechanical properties, membrane fusion and the working of pores and channels. For example, the potential difference needed to create a transient pore in a lipid membrane is between 150 and 600 mV. Given that the values measured here are in this range, it could well be that these membrane potential fluctuations are responsible for opening up tiny transient pores. These tiny pores would be responsible for small amounts of water and ion transport. Indeed, several studies have suggested that this is the case, but no explanation has been found. Our data suggest that the intrinsic fluctuations in the hydration structure of the membrane might be the cause. Connections to other spatiotemporal degrees of freedom such as membrane stiffness may also be present, but all of this has yet to be investigated.
Membrane Potential Imaging in Living Neurons. With the ability to generate label-free membrane potential maps using the interfacial water molecules as an in situ “contrast agent”, it becomes possible to gain new insights in biological systems. Especially for cells and tissues that depend on membrane potential fluctuations, such as the nervous system or cardiac cells. Previous studies using a variety of fluorescent membrane potential sensitive markers have shown the great potential of SHM for neuroscience, but the toxicity of the probes or the need to resort to genetic modification remains a disadvantage. To explore this exciting avenue of using water as a contrast agent (nontoxic and present everywhere), we have recently started probing neurological activity using our wide field high throughput SHM. Figure 6A shows a sketch of the optical layout. In these experiments, primary cortical mouse brain neurons were placed on a glass coverslip under flow of an appropriate medium. To confirm the expected linear relationship between electrostatic potential and the square root of the SH response also in living cells, side by side patch clamp and SH imaging measurements were performed while the resting potential was modified by a K⁺ induced depolarization process. Indeed, Figure 6B shows a linear correlation between the electrostatic potential measured by a patch clamp (y axis right, blue trace) and the optically determined square root of the SH intensity (y axis left, red trace, whole cell averaged) that is proportional to the membrane potential. In the next step, we converted the intensity to membrane potential values, leading to snapshots of the membrane potential with 600 ms temporal resolution. Figure 6C shows a phase contrast image of 3 cell bodies, and Figure 6D,E displays the change in the SH response and the corresponding membrane potential changes for both depolarization (adding additional K⁺ ions to the extracellular liquid, left), and repolarization (removing the excess of K⁺ ion, right). K⁺ ion flux maps were also created based on the known relationship between charge flow (dQ/dt) and membrane potential (Q = CAΔΦ₀). While the average temporal response agrees with the theoretically expected membrane potential changes and the electrophysiological recordings obtained with the same protocol, the images show clear spatiotemporal fluctuations within the neurons. It can be seen that different parts of the cells (soma or neurites) are active at different parts of the depolarization cycle. Thus, there is not only a nonuniform distribution and density of permeant ion channels but also different temporal activity. There could be a connection to the observed fluctuations in membrane potentials of FLMs (see Spatiotemporal Imaging of Membrane Water: Heterogeneity section) but this needs to be further explored by performing more extensive bottom-up and top-down studies.

CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

We have described recent advances made during the past few years on the path toward bringing together the top-down whole cell biology approach and the bottom-up lipid mono- and supported planar bilayer physical chemistry approach for understanding molecular membrane biochemistry by using more realistic free-floating membrane systems on different length scales and characterizing them with emerging spectroscopic nonlinear scattering and imaging approaches. The molecular-level interfacial information about structure and hydration of more realistic free-floating nano-, micro- and macroscale membrane model systems in aqueous solution leads to several new directions of research either geared toward answering fundamental questions or obtaining more applied technological advancements.

Fundamental Insights. This Perspective shows a number of surprising findings from a more fundamental point of view. There is the structural difference between extended planar and nanoscopic interfaces (~100 nm sized), such as droplets and liposomes made out of the same chemicals as planar extended LMs or bilayers. This suggests that planar extended systems are limited as model systems for understanding real membranes in cells, and that length scale, curvature and confinement are more important parameters than previously thought. To obtain a further handle on this aspect, further experiments need to be performed, preferably in combination with modeling to determine which interactions change over what length scale.

The aspects of confinement/curvature and heterogeneity have not been previously considered in the molecular description of membranes. Since the experimental work described here shows they are important, and as it is also
shown that mean field models cannot anymore describe the electrostatics of droplet and liposome interfaces or the electrostatics of freestanding lipid membranes, it is necessary to expand theoretical modeling with sufficient atomistic detail to the length scale between ~10 nm and ~10 μm. This could be explored in conjunction with experiments that probe for example the electrostatic or the hydration/hydrogen bond network structure on different length scales.

The presence of structural fluctuations in membrane hydration that gives rise to changes in the electrostatic energy on the order of 10 kT, are particularly striking and could prove to be significant game changers in our understanding of membrane or even liquid interface chemistry and physics. Since these fluctuations occur even in the liquid phase of partially charged membranes, they are likely to play a role in a wide variety of phenomena, ranging from simple ion–membrane interactions to protein membrane interactions, to intermembrane energy conversion. Future experiments should focus on understanding the origin of the membrane potential fluctuations and determining if they occur also in other phenomena (such as simple processes like ion–membrane interactions). As the neuroimaging experiments also demonstrate clear structural and temporal activity distributions, a connection might be made with ion channels as these are likely the key players in the movement of water and charges. Future experiments of interest would be to insert and activate ion channels in FLMs and to measure their influence on the water structure.

Technological Advancement. The recent technological developments described here have created tools to investigate molecular structure of lipid droplets and liposomes. Measurements of molecular conformation, tilt angles, chirality and interactions will lead to a better characterization of the interfacial chemistry of such systems. They can also bring new insights into the distribution of lipids and the coupling between domains and leaflets. The technology opens up new possibilities for the investigation of simple ion–membrane interactions, protein membrane interactions, enzyme activity and for example the working of ion channels and pores.

This will further the possibilities of developing and understanding the working of new drugs. The ability to measure membrane potentials either by scattering or by imaging brings about wealth of possibilities for quantitative biology experiments. One example is the ability to measure and quantify membrane potentials and ion fluxes in living neurons. Such measurements can be made in the future lead to a better understanding of the electrostatic signaling between and within neurons, and eventually in tissue. Future advancements in optical technology can increase the temporal resolution so that also faster phenomena can be explored. Other cells that have membrane potential fluctuations such as muscle or cardiac cells can be investigated, which could be interested in relation to the screening of drugs, or response of the cells or tissue to certain stimuli.
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